

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAM	1ED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/820,081 03/28/2001		Micha	iel E. Furry	FRR 301	4028
75	90 09/10	/2003			
Kolisch, Hartwell, Dickinson,			EXAMINER		
McCormack & Heuser				SUHOL, DMITRY	
200 Pacific Bui	_				
520 S.W. Yamhill Street Portland, OR 97204				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
7011414, 611 7.20				3712	1
				DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/820,081	FURRY, MICHAEL E.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Dmitry Suhol	3712					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06.	June 2003 .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
· _	on of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,9,18,57,58 and 60-70</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	at to restriction and/or sleeting re-						
	Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,9,18,57,58 and 60-70</u> are subjection Papers	ect to restriction and/or election re	quirement.					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	pted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	·						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	<u>-</u>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmen			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/820,081

**Art Unit: 3712** 

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group 1, the species shown in figures 1, 3-9;

Group 2, the species shown in figures 2;

Group 3, the species shown in figures 10-12 and 19;

Group 4, the species shown in figures 13-14;

Group 5, the species shown in figure 15 and 20;

Group 6, the species shown in figures 16-17;

Group 7, the species shown in figure 18;

Group 8, the species shown in figures 21-22;

Group 9, the species shown in figure 23;

Group 10, the species shown in figures 24-25;

Group 11, the species shown in figure 26;

Group 12, the species shown in figures 27-29.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no generic claims.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/820,081 Page 4

Art Unit: 3712

It should be further noted that applicants currently list claim 32 and it's dependent claims 63-69 (newly added) as pending in the application, however claim 32 has been canceled by the applicants in paper no. 9. Appropriate correction is required.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dmitry Suhol whose telephone number is 703-305-0085. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Friday 9am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 703-308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

ds

DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER FECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700